



FLORIDA CONFERENCE OF CATHOLIC BISHOPS  
2020 Candidate Questionnaire Project



**George Navarini (REP)**  
FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES - DISTRICT 104

**DO YOU SUPPORT OR OPPOSE...**

**ABORTION LIMITS:** Prohibiting abortion when a fetus has reached 20 weeks gestation and has the capacity to feel pain? **SUPPORT**

*Optional Comments: Let's call this barbaric practice for what it is, infanticide, and a wholesale violation of the human rights of the child.*

**ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE:** Increasing health coverage options for uninsured Floridians, especially the unemployed and the working poor? **SUPPORT**

**AFFORDABLE HOUSING:** Using all state housing trust funds as intended to address affordable housing needs in Florida? **SUPPORT**

**CRIMINAL JUSTICE:** Authorizing courts to impose a sentence less than the mandatory minimum term of imprisonment for nonviolent crimes? **SUPPORT**

*Optional Comments: We need to strike a proper balance in sentencing, that allow judges certain discretion in sentencing, but not to the point of making a mockery of the system.*

**DEATH PENALTY REPEAL:** Ending Florida's use of the death penalty while preserving the alternative of a life sentence without parole? **OPPOSE**

*Optional Comments: The death penalty should be applied sparingly, only in cases so egregious that they shock the conscience of the community.*

**END-OF-LIFE PROTECTION:** Preserving Florida law that bans doctor-prescribed suicide? **SUPPORT**

**FREEDOM TO SERVE:** Allowing health care providers and workers the freedom to serve in accord with their conscience? **SUPPORT**

*Optional Comments: It is immoral and poor business practice to force employees to violate their personal moral code.*

**PARENTAL EMPOWERMENT:** Eliminating the requirement to attend public school the year prior to receiving a state scholarship for K-12 non-public schools? **SUPPORT**

*Optional Comments: Parents and the first and most important teacher most children are blessed to have They have both moral and legal authority over their children, through both common and statutory law. It is improper to dilute said authority through needless intervention by the state, save in rare cases of child endangerment. In short, parents must have the final say in the upbringing of the children, to include education.*

**PROTECTING WORKERS:** Requiring drinking water, shade, and annual heat illness training be provided for farmworkers and other outdoor workers? **SUPPORT**

*Optional Comments: What is both amazing and sad that this needs to be enumerated in our laws. What happened to treating other as you would want to be treated. If we even descend to a purely pragmatic perspective, a healthy employee is a far more productive employee, and their increased performance and job satisfaction level will far outweigh the nominal cost of keeping them hydrated and protected from heat-related injuries.*

**RELIGIOUS FREEDOM:** Respecting the autonomy of non-public schools to maintain policies that distinguish dress codes and other aspects of student life on the biological basis of sex?

**SUPPORT**

*Optional Comments: Parents send their children to "non-public schools" seeking many things, including many times a superior education as well as a safer, more wholesome environment and sense of order and discipline. The fact that many parents send their children to school not of their faith demonstrates that religion per se is not always the prime motivating factor. As a private entity, other than basic issues regarding health and safety of the students and staff, it is beyond the purview of the state to dictate how these private schools are run. In the case of parochial schools, this willful interference can be seen as a violation of the religious freedom of the school and the parents.*