

# VASHON PARK DISTRICT BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

## MEETING MINUTES

Ober Park, Performance Room, 7:00 pm

DATE: Tuesday, June 9, 2015

Commissioners attending: Bill Ameling, Scott Harvey, Doug Ostrom, Joe Wald. Lu-Ann Branch was absent.

Staff attending: Jason Acosta, Elaine Ott

ISSUE	DISCUSSION AND OUTCOME	FOLLOW UP
<b>Call to order &amp; agenda review</b>	The meeting was called to order by Joe Wald at 7:01 PM. Joe reviewed the agenda.	
<b>Public Comment</b>	<b>Capt. Joe:</b> I was at Pt. Robinson when the contractor finished the lighthouse roof. The job is beautiful with a 50 year warranty. The material is aluminum with the color baked on. They did a lovely job of cleaning up. They said that it was an honor to work on the lighthouse.	
<b>VES Bid Opening</b>	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>a) Motion authorizing award to the lowest bidder (to include ratifying the call for bids.)</i></p> <p><b>Elaine:</b> We need a motion to award the lowest bidder and a motion ratifying the lowest bid.  <b>Bill:</b> I MOVE THAT WE AWARD THE LOWEST BIDDER AND RATIFY THE LOWEST BID.  <b>Doug:</b> SECOND.  <b>Bill:</b> I MOVE THAT WE SUSPEND THE RULES.  <b>Doug:</b> SECOND.  <b>VOTE:</b> 4-0 TO SUSPEND.  <b>Original Motion to accept:</b> VOTE 4-0  <b>Elaine:</b> The Board needs to authorize the call for bids. There has never been a formal motion.  <b>Bill:</b> I MOVE THAT WE AUTHORIZE THE CALL FOR BIDS.  <b>Scott:</b> I MOVE THAT WE SUSPEND THE RULES.\  <b>Doug:</b> SECOND.  <b>VOTE ON SUSPENDING THE RULES:</b> 4-0  <b>Elaine:</b> We had one bid. It was from D &amp; R. The total base bid is 273K. In addition, paving N lot is another 40K. The N parking lot has to be a part of the bid. The 273K includes paving the access road and the turn around.  <b>Bill:</b> Are we asking that the bid be modified?  <b>Elaine:</b> After much back and forth, including talking to the Assistant Director of Permitting, we must pave everything you see in blue on the map. After tonight if we choose to pave yellow areas, we must submit a phased plan with a timeline to include everything in</p>	<p><b>MOTION TO AWARD THE LOWEST BIDDER AND RATIFY THE LOWEST BID. SECOND.</b></p> <p><b>MOTION THAT THE RULES BE SUSPENDED, SECOND, VOTE TO SUSPEND RULES. VOTE: 4-0 IN FAVOR.</b></p> <p><b>VOTE ON ORIGINAL MOTION: 4-0 IN FAVOR.</b></p>

yellow. Total cost: 295K. We would have to submit a plan every week. Non paved areas could be open for three days/week. We have to limit use to less than 5 days. We also have to confirm that there are 88 stalls. The minimum would be met if we move the boulders and paint. We can choose to do the blue area only now at 222K. To pave the whole thing would be 295K.

Joe: If we do the blue, does it close out the permit?

Elaine: It would be an extension. It is not stipulated, not specified. Short term—it has to be done in 2016.

Scott: At this point I am hearing that we have not heard back from our attorney so I can't vote. I want to see the code that says we have to do this. That is the first thing.

Elaine: I have the code and I have submitted this to our attorney and we are awaiting a response.

Scott: Why do we have to use specific attorneys?

Elaine: We are on contract with Ogden Murphy Wallace. Run other names by me.

Scott: Why do we restrict ourselves?

Elaine: We have had extensive conversations this weeks. We can break our contract. Are agreed that we should do that?

Scott: Without paving the yellow, we have complied?

Elaine: Yes.

Scott: Assuming that we have to do the yellow part, I would have us argue that we should be able to sod-in the N. parking lot.

Elaine: They are not budging.

Scott: Their whole thing is dust. \

Bill: The dirt in the ball field is not the same as in the parking lot.

Joe: Who says that it cannot be grass?

Elaine: Mitch should speak to this. This plan is permitted.

Mitch: There are potential ramifications. If the permit was pulled, the parking study would be diminished at the sites. We are up against the wall with the permit. At this point if you want to fight about it, it could become difficult. Now you are trying to do less.

Scott: That doesn't interfere with the other lot. We should put them on notice that we are changing the plan.

Mitch: The permit will not be renewed.

Elaine: I have to submit a plan to them. If we said that we are going to fight it, they can say pave it all.

Scott: We should bring out King 5.

Elaine: That is risky.

Mitch: I agree.

Elaine: If King County digs their heels in, they can shut down the fields. Then RCO steps in.

Doug: They have changed things as this has gone along. Have we talked to public officials

like Joe McDermott?

**Bill:** We agreed that we would do only what we had to do. So, even though I don't like the money, this field will be here when Joe has to buy another lighthouse. It is not like we are putting out money for something we don't need. I am not favor of calling King 5. It only makes people angry. The county does not have it in for Vashon. I have dealt with them for a long time. They can make life hell for you. I am in favor of finding out if it is the law. This is a small fix, but it is big to us. More prudent is to go to parks and see if they would spring for the yellow. I would like to see us resolve this.

**Mitch:** We did bid part of it.

**Bill:** Get the blue prints.

**Elaine:** We did break out storm drainage, etc.

**Mitch:** It is not separated between the lot and the turnaround.

**Bill:** for the next meeting, get the blue number. At least for the first time the County says this is it. We can ask an attorney.

**Doug:** Is there an understanding of the difference between Vashon and the rest of the county?

**Elaine:** The fields are used 7 days per week.

**Jason:** There is soccer Sept – Jan. Practices every day. The big field has 4 soccer fields on it. Then you have Lacrosse. Then baseball starts and they use both fields. We need time for the fields to recover before soccer. There will be cars up there all the time.

**Scott:** We should fight it. It shouldn't be a big argument. We should go to the public. We are conceding that it should be covered. We should take our chances. **I MOVE THAT WE PROCEED WITH THE BLUE WORK AND IN THE PLAN IT SHOULD INCLUDE THAT WE WOULD SOD IN THE YELLOW.**

**Bill:** You can't commit the board to be a pain in the ass. You say doing the yellow might be in grass, but it is still doing the yellow.

**Scott:** My motion is that we go to the County with the grass plan for 2016.

**Bill:** If we make that motion tonight to finalized it, Elaine will tell us that grass is an option or not. You are saying grass the N parking lot?

**Elaine:** Give me a date as to when the access road and turn around will be completed. Are we saying mid 2016?

**Mitch:** April is early. May is more suitable. July is a given and August is perfect. It would take a couple of weeks to do the grass.

**Joe:** Handicapped stalls must be paved. And the road there must be paved. You can't make material changes.

**Bill:** SECOND.

**Janet:** The county tries to be consistent regardless of the differences within the county. You are providing all the stalls per code. I believe that law firm has done a lot of work for the Park District in the past. I am confident that the law firm will give you quality service.

**Capt. Joe:** Is there a contingency?

**MOTION TO PROCEED WITH THE BLUE WORK AT VES AND THAT THE PLAN INCLUDE SODDING IN THE YELLOW. SECOND.**

**Agenda Item:**

	<p><b>Elaine:</b> There is not a contingency.</p> <p><b>Mitch:</b> I had the engineer price it and I “Vashoned” it. I felt the numbers we provided were realistic numbers. The items we did not hear back about were: 1) bio swells; 2) bank of the rain garden. We don’t have the records on that. I can’t bid something that I don’t have an answer for. It is a certain amount of work that has to be addressed. This a 1%-er.</p> <p><b>Scott:</b> So you are talking about 5K?</p> <p><b>Hilary:</b> How is this getting re-budgeted?</p> <p><b>Scott:</b> Even though we had a reserve of 100K, we would use up all of our reserve. The 100K will cover it for this year.</p> <p><b>Janet:</b> If I am understanding your motion we are looking at something between the blue sheet and the yellow sheet. There needs to be a discussion on the numbers. I think that we are around 273K.</p> <p><b>Scott:</b> Do we have to do the yellow?</p> <p><b>Elaine:</b> We have to do the blue by September. We have to submit a plan for the yellow for next year.</p>	
<p><b>TAN Renewal</b></p>	<p><b>Elaine:</b> We cannot even do the blue without the TAN for 150K. We might up it to 200K. This would come up for renewal July 1.</p> <p><b>Bill:</b> Does the TAN go to 0 by the end of the year?</p> <p><b>Elaine:</b> It is at zero. I will double check that. I will rework the cash flow.</p> <p><b>Bill:</b> We can throw away the cash flows that we have been given?</p> <p><b>Elaine:</b> Yes.</p> <p><b>Cici:</b> I understand that Doug Hoffman is the sole bidder. In the past we have had some difficulty getting drawings from him. I understood that there would be a general contractor.</p> <p><b>Elaine:</b> Mitch is the general contractor.</p>	<p><b>Elaine:</b> Check on whether the TAN is at zero and rework the cash flow.</p>
<p><b>Staff Reports</b></p>	<p><i>1) 5-year plan survey</i></p> <p><b>Elaine:</b> At the last meeting I was asked for more information. Many of the grants through RCO must be through an appropriate process: demand need and public involvement. They like to see several methods. Demands may not be possible with resources. What does the community want and how do we know it is true? RCO is a state grant agency with some fed and some state funding which is funneled through 7-8 potential grants. There are several that are attractive to us such as the year-around pool. Generally, the survey is a grant requirement. I think that it is imperative that we ask the public what they want.</p> <p><b>Doug:</b> Would it cover an historic structure?</p> <p><b>Elaine:</b> We could cover that in the survey. RCO does not have a grant to restore historic properties.</p> <p><b>Bill:</b> We took everything in that everybody wanted and put it in long term. We</p>	

	<p>amended the plan to move it up. We made sure that everything that people were concerned about was in the plan.</p> <p>2) <i>Pool</i>  <b>Elaine:</b> We have had record breaking numbers. The staff managed the crowds well. With this heat, we have not had to turn on the boiler. With the heat and the cover we are saving a lot.  <b>Bill:</b> The sign looks like it is for a garage sale.  <b>Elaine:</b> We are waiting for other signs and we are asking about the status of projects.</p>	
<b>Motion to Adjourn</b>	<p><b>Bill:</b> I MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN.  <b>Scott:</b> SECOND.  The meeting was adjourned at 8:00 PM.</p>	
<b>Next Meeting</b>	<b>June 23, 2015, 7:00 PM</b>	

Respectfully submitted by:  
Mary Reeves